

UNITED STATES DEPOTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/646,503

05/08/96

RIDDLE

G

04860.P1937

LM02/0217

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD

SEVENTH FLOOR

LOS ANGELS CA 90025

EXAMINER

но.с

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2757

DATE MAILED:

02/17/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/646,503

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Chuong Ho

Group Art Unit 2757

Guy Riddle



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 6, 1999	
X This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is Eapproved Edisapproved.
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under the control of th	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)
\square received in this national stage application from the Int	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	J
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DESICE ACTION ON THE	: FOLLOWING DAGES

Art Unit: 2757

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 12/06/1999 have been entered and made of record.

2. As to claim 1, the Applicant submits that "neither Ludwig nor Mirashrafi teaches or suggest the establishment of a listen string containing an application signature, and an application signal type". The examiner disagrees, Mirashrafi discloses the establishment of a listen string containing an application signature, and an application signal type (associated usage ID which is defined by the application) (see col.19, lines 22-25, col.22, lines 23-25, col.23, lines 20-25, columns 25-26, col.27, lines 20-25, col.70, lines 61-65, col.84, lines 63-66, col.86, lines 2-4, col.87, lines 55-65, col.88, lines 19-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mirashrafi (U.S. No.5,574,934) in view of the combined system of Andersen et al. (U.S.Patent No.5,674,003) and of Kris Jamsa, Ph.D. (1995, Internet Programming).

In claims 1, 8, 9, 10, and 17, Mirashrafie et al., referring to figure.5, teaches audio /video conferencing application 502 support audio and video conferencing between remote locations, while data conferencing application 504 supports the sharing of data (e.g., documents) between the

Art Unit: 2757

remote locations. In general, conferencing system 100 is capable of simultaneously supporting multiple applications that support different types of conferencing services (e.g., audio/video conferencing, data sharing); comprising:

- in a computer system having a memory, a processor, and a network interface; receiving an incoming call signal on network interface (see figures 1-4)
- launching a call director unit to set up a demon conference component in memory (see col.23, lines 55-65);
- processing incoming call signal in demon conference component to detect an intended recipient application using a listen string, listen string containing an application signature (see col.21, lines 23-60, col.22, lines 22-53, col.23, lines 7-27);
- launching intended recipient application using application signature (see col.19, lines 41-48).

However, Mirashrafi et al. does not disclose listen string containing an application signal port/socket.

Andersen et al. teaches, in a computer video conferencing system, it is often necessary to transmit multiple channels of information between remote computers, such as a video channel, an audio channel and data sharing channel (see figure 1); comprising:

listen string containing an application signal port/socket (see col.3, lines 10-20, see col.5, lines 22-30, see col.7, lines 20-27, lines 63-68, see col.8, lines 11-16, see col.15, lines 22-40) (see Kris Jamsa, Ph.D., Internet Programming, pages 162-164)

Art Unit: 2757

Given the combined system of Andersen et al. and of Kris Jamsa, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention to modify Mirashrafi's system to provide listen string containing an application signal port/socket. Therefore, using application signature including information regarding an application signal type and application signal port, the conferencing application can be launched only when there is an incoming call to handle.

- 5. In claims 2, 11, and 18, Mirashrafi et al. teaches parsing incoming call signal to determine a signal type and a signal port; and determining intended recipient application based on signal type and signal port (see col.21, lines 23-60, col.22, lines 22 53).
- 6. In claims 3, 12, and 19, Mirashrafi et al. teaches launching intended recipient application comprises the steps of: determining intended recipient application based on a signal type and a signal port (see col.21, lines 23-60, col.22, lines 22-53). Mirshrafi et al. teaches locating intended recipient application using application signature; and signaling a process manager to launching intended recipient application (see col.21, lines 23-60, col.22, lines 22-53, see col.23, lines 7-27).
- 7. In claims 4, 13, and 20, Mirashrafi et al. discloses wherein step of launching call director unit to set up demon conference component includes the steps of: loading a call processing module into memory; and initializing call processing module to process call module to process calls using network interface (see col.19, lines 17-50).
- 8. In claims 5, 14, and 21, Mirashrafi et al. teaches loading a call directing component; loading a first conference component; loading a first transport component; and loading a first network component (see col.19, lines 17-50, col.20, lines 1-51).

Art Unit: 2757

Mairashrafi et al.(U.S.Patent No.5,574,934).

recipient application (see col.19, lines 17-50, col.20, lines 1-51).

9. In claims 6, 15, and 22, Mirashrafi et al. teaches initializing first network component to operate with network interface; initializing call directing component to monitor for incoming call signal; initializing first transport component to receive incoming call signal; initializing first conference component to transfer incoming call signal (see col.19, lines 17-50, col.20, lines 1-51).

10. Claims 7, 16, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

In claims 7, 16, Mirashrafi et al. teaches receiving an initialization message from intended

However, Mirashrafi et al. does not teach removing intended recipient from an internal list if initialization message does not correspond to an expected message.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined system by removing intended recipient application from an internal list if initialization message does not correspond to an expected message because it is well known that if persistent listening is turned off for a listen string, there will be no notification of incoming calls for that listen string if the conferencing applications that handles that listen string is not loaded and executing.

Art Unit: 2757

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong Ho whose telephone number is (703)306-4529. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 3pm.

12. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Burgess, Glenton, can be reached on (703)305-4792.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be direct to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

CH

Date 02-04-00

GLENTON B. BIDRIESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700